

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,356	10/829,356 04/22/2004		Neil Aubrey Tarr	PAT 993-2 US	6065
49127	7590	12/05/2006		EXAMINER	
HICKS &	PENMAN	N LTD.	FONSECA, JESSIE T		
3553 31ST	STREET 1	٧W	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SUITE 123 CALGARY, AB T2L2K7				
CANADA	•		DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/829,356	TARR, NEIL AUBREY					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .					
·	Jessie Fonseca	3637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J	lune 2004						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	s action is non-final.						
·—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mo						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers		,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document and copies of the certified copies of the priority document and copies of the priority document and copies of the certified copies of the priority document and c	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Pority documents have been receiv	tion No					
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed					
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receiv	eu.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informat						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/829,356

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a stud, classified in class 52, subclass 729.1.
- II. Claims 10-17, drawn to a method of using, classified in class 52, subclass 745.09.
- III. Claims 18-20, drawn to a kit comprising a plurality of studs, classified in class 52, subclass 745.09.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it is not disclosed that the stud is a moulded plastic stud. The subcombination has separate utility such as supporting fixtures.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in

Application/Control Number: 10/829,356

Art Unit: 3637

accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in different environment for supporting fixtures.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was attempted to the applicant's representative, Andrew R. Hicks, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement. However, the applicant's representative could not be reached.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,356

Art Unit: 3637

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessie Fonseca whose telephone number is (571)272-7195. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571)272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,356 Page 5

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JF_」ド 11/29/06

> LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lama ma